

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/531,173	TORII, KAN	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
ELENI A. SHIFERAW	2436	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) ELENI A. SHIFERAW. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Brian Klock. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 October 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3-7, and 9-19

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Eleni A Shiferaw/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2436

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted the applicant's undersigned attorney, Brian L. Klock to include dependent claim to independent claims to base claims to particulary pointout the invention and clarify 101 problem and fix typo. Brian L. Clock authorized the examiner to amend the claims by examiner's amendment as shown below.